NOMENCLATURAL NOTE

Commentaries on different uses of the specific epithet of the large dromaeosaurid *Utahraptor* Kirkland et al., 1993 (Dinosauria, Theropoda)

Thiago Vernaschi V. Costa

Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências da Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, Travessa 14, n. 101, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil (tvvcosta@gmail.com)

Normand David

202-53 Hasting, Dollard-des-Ormeaux, Québec, Canada, H9G 3C4 (normanddavid@videotron.ca)

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:8D62F277-3A96-4743-B77C-63F128FBF85Bhttp://dx.doi.org/10.21805/bzn.v76.a029

Abstract. *Utahraptor* is the largest member of the dinosaur family DROMAEOSAURIDAE and represents one of the most remarkable discoveries in recent decades. In the original description, the specific epithet *ostrommaysi* was used for naming the species in honor of two different persons, but in subsequent years the spelling *ostrommaysorum* was often used without comment or explanation. In this paper, we review the historical uses of the specific epithet of *Utahraptor* and make clarifications on the status of six different subsequent spellings. The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (1999) does not offer any provision for forming a genitive form from two persons having different names, so the original spelling *ostrommaysi* has to be regarded as an arbitrary combination of letters, and not a correctly formed genitive form. For this reason, it is deemed to be preserved unaltered and is not subject to a justified emendation; consequently, the subsequent spelling *ostrommaysorum* is erroneous and represents an unjustified emendation and shown not to be in prevailing usage. Therefore, the name to be used for the taxon is *Utahraptor ostrommaysi*, as originally introduced.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Dinosauria; Theropoda; Maniraptora; *Utahraptor*; *ostrommaysi*; *ostrommaysorum*; Utah; Cretaceous.

Utahraptor ostrommaysi is the largest member of the dinosaur family DROMAEOSAURIDAE, with some specimens estimated to have reached up to 5.7 meters long and weighting roughly 500 kilograms (Kirkland et al., 1993). Fossil specimens date to the early Cretaceous period, in rock strata dated to 126 ± 2.5 million years ago, and it represents one of the most remarkable discoveries in recent decades.

In the original genus and species description, Kirkland et al. (1993) used the specific epithet *ostrommaysi* for naming the species, which represented a combination of names in honor of two different persons, John Ostrom and Chris Mays. Subsequently, Kirkland et al. (1997, 1998, 1999, 2016a, 2016b), and Kirkland & Madsen (2007), surprisingly used a different spelling for the specific epithet of the species, *U. ostrommaysorum*, without any comment or explanation, as did the works of Senter et al. (2012a), Senter et al. (2012b), and Milàn et al. (2015), of which J. I. Kirkland was a co-author, again without any comment or explanation. Therefore, in the last 25 years the species have been reported in the literature by mainly (but not only) two different names.

In this paper, we review the historical uses of the specific epithet of *Utahraptor* and make clarifications on the status and validity of the different spellings based on the express application of the nomenclatural rules. In order to discover the origin of the use of *ostrommaysorum*, instead of *ostrommaysi*, we have examined the published literature that mention *Utahraptor*, from the original description in 1993 up to and including 2017. Our search resulted in 57 works that make reference to *Utahraptor*, being 42 journal articles, five books and six book chapters, totaling 53 peer-reviewed works, as well as four doctoral theses. Among them, 27 (ca. 47%) use the original spelling *ostrommaysi*, while 28 (ca. 49%) use the subsequent *ostrommaysorum*. In a few cases, two (Gianechini, 2014) or even three different spellings (Norell et al., 2006; Kirkland et al., 2016b) were used in a same work. Apart from those two spellings, five other were found in the literature: *U. ostromaysi* (in Taniguchi, 2004), *U. ostromaysorum* (in Carpenter, 2006; Kirkland et al., 2016b; and Suarez et al., 2017), *U. ostromaysorum* (in Allain & Taquet, 2006). All the references for each spelling are summarized in table 1.

Therefore, six subsequent spellings (i.e., different from the original *ostrommaysi*) have been used for the species in the consulted literature. All authors who used those subsequent spellings did not offer any comment or explanation, and all the above mentioned subsequent spellings are considered incorrect subsequent spellings, under the rules of the ICZN. There is however one exception; indeed, Olshevsky (2000: 98–99) wrote:

"U. ostrommaysorum Kirkland, Burge & Gaston 1993 emend. Olshevsky, 1993

= Utahraptor ostrommaysi Kirkland, Burge & Gaston 1993

NOTE: [...] According to ICZN, articles 31a[ii] and 32c, the species epithet *ostrommaysi* must be corrected to *ostrommaysorum* because it honors two persons."

However, Olshevsky (2000: 98–99) reached an erroneous conclusion, and thus created an unjustified emendation. This is because third edition of the Code (ICZN, 1985) does not offer any provision for forming a possessive or genitive form from two persons having different names. Article 31a[ii] reads: "A species-group name, if a noun in the genitive case, formed directly from a modern personal name is to be formed by adding to the stem of that name -i if the personal name is that of a man, -orum if of men or of man (men) and woman (women) together, -ae if of a woman, and -arum if of women; the stem of such a name is determined by the action of the original author when forming the genitive". The article deals with the formation of a genitive case for two or more persons having the same name, not for two persons having different names. In other words, the spelling *ostrommaysorum* would refer to two or more persons, including at least one man, bearing the name Ostrommays. Article 31.1.2 of the current Code (ICZN, 1999), has the same wording. In Olshevsky (2000), the indication "*emend*. Olshevsky, 1993" refers seemingly

Spelling	References
U. ostrommaysi	Kirkland et al. (1993), Witmer (1997), Brit et al. (2001), Ruiz-Omenaca & Canudo (2003), Kiernan & Schwimmer (2004), Hwang et al. (2004), Norell & Makovicky (2004), Weishampel et al. (2004), Canudo et al. (2005), Samman (2006), Norell et al. (2006), Senter (2007), Novas et al. (2009), Hu et al. (2009), Longrich & Currie (2009), Erickson et al. (2009), Zheng et al. (2010), Paul (2010), Agnolin & Novas (2011), Novas et al. (2012), Agnolin & Novas (2013), DeCourten (2013), Gianechini (2014), DePalma et al. (2015), Hendrickx (2015), Xu et al. (2015), Carvalho (2017)
U. ostrommaysorum	Kirkland et al. (1997, 1998, 1999, 2016a, 2016b), Barsbold & Osmolska (1999), Olshevsky (2000), Norell et al. (2001), DiCroce & Carpenter (2001), Rauhut & Xu (2005), Corfe & Butler (2006), Norell et al. (2006), Kirkland & Madsen (2007), Turner et al. (2007a), Turner et al. (2007b), Turner et al. (2011), Gianechini et al. (2011), Senter et al. (2012a, 2012b), Lucas et al. (2012), Turner et al. (2012), Evans et al. (2013), Gianechini (2014), Milàn et al. (2015), Parsons and Parsons (2015), Paul (2016), Watanabe (2016), Gianechini et al. (2017), Britt et al. (2017)
U. ostromaysi	Taniguchi (2004)
U. ostromaysorum	Carpenter (2006), Suarez et al. (2017), Kirkland et al. (2016b)
U. ostromayssorum	Allain & Taquet (2006).
U. ostromayorum	Kirkland et al. (2016b)
U. ostrommaysori	Norell et al. (2006)

Table 1. Original and subsequent spellings for the specific epithet of *Utahraptor* used in the literature from 1993 to and including 2017.

to a prior publication of the author. It could be Olshevsky (1993a), Olshevsky (1993b) or Olshevsky (1993c). However, we were unable to find a copy of any of those three works, where the subsequent spelling *ostrommaysorum* was most likely first introduced. Anyway, after publication of one of those works by Olshevsky, the name *ostrommaysorum* was often used for the taxon.

What is the true nature of the original spelling *ostrommaysi* Kirkland et al., 1993? Under Art. 11.3, it has to be regarded as an arbitrary combination of letters, and not a correctly formed name in the genitive case according to Arts. 31.1.1 or 31.1.2. For this reason, it is deemed to be preserved unaltered and it is not subject to a justified emendation. According to Art. 32.2, "The original spelling of a name is the 'correct original spelling', unless it is demonstrably incorrect as provided in Art. 32.5." Here, not a single subarticle of Art. 32.5 is applicable. As a result, the spelling *U. ostrommaysorum* Olshevsky in Olshevsky, 2000 represents an unjustified emendation, and is thus a junior objective synonym of *U. ostrommaysi* Kirkland, Gaston & Burge, 1993 (Art. 33.2.3).

It is worth mentioning that different interpretations of Art. 31 led some authors to argue that any nomen based on personal names should not be modified in any circumstances,

except in only a few, rare cases, and that different subsequent spellings represent unjustified emendations (see Brandon-Jones et al., 2007 and Dubois, 2007 for this rationale). Hence, following either the traditional interpretation of the code or the arguments present in those papers, the spelling *U. ostrommaysorum* represents an unjustified emendation. A similar situation can be seen in the name of the dwarf snake *Calamaria lowi ingermarxi* Darevsky & Orlov, 1992, which was formed in honor of two persons having different surnames, R. F. Inger and H. Marx. This case also represents an example of an arbitrary combination of letters, as accepted in Art. 11.3, and is not subject to emendation (Michels & Bauer 2004, Dubois 2007).

According to the Art. 33.2.3.1, "when an unjustified emendation is in prevailing usage and is attributed to the original author and date it is deemed to be a justified emendation". Also, according to the Art. 33.3.1 "when an incorrect subsequent spelling is in prevailing usage and is attributed to the publication of the original spelling, the subsequent spelling and attribution are to be preserved and the spelling is deemed to be a correct original spelling". As stated by Glossary of the Code, prevailing usage is "that usage of the name which is adopted by at least a substantial majority of the most recent authors concerned with the relevant taxon, irrespective of how long their work was published". As shown above, the subsequent spelling *ostrommaysorum* was used in 28 of the 57 works that mention the taxon, representing a percentage of ca. 49%, which is far from representing a "substantial majority".

In conclusion, for all the above reasons, based on the strict application of ICZN (1999) regarding both the formation of species-group names and the conditions under which prevailing usage should be taken into account, the name to be used for the taxon is *Utahraptor ostrommaysi*, as originally introduced by Kirkland et al. (1993).

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to V. de Q. Piacentini and S. L. Olson for discussion on this subject, and to an anonymous reviewer for the valuable comments on the manuscript. We also thank L. K. Overstreet, Curator of Natural-History Rare Books, Smithsonian Libraries, for providing us with copies of selected pages from Olshevsky (2000) and to D. Seripierri, Chief of the Library of the Museu de Zoologia da USP, for the help in locating some references.

References

- Agnolin FL, Novas FE (2011) Unenlagiid theropods: are they members of the Dromaeosauridae (Theropoda, Maniraptora)? Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 83(1): 117–162.
- Agnolin FL, Novas FE (2013). Avian ancestors: a review of the phylogenetic relationships of the theropods Unenlagiidae, Microraptoria, *Anchiornis* and Scansoriopterygidae. Springer, Dordrecht / Heidelberg, 99 pp.
- Allain R, Taquet P (2006) A new genus of Dromaeosauridae (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous of France. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 20(2): 404–407.
- Barsbold R, Osmólska H (1999) The skull of *Velociraptor* (Theropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 44 (2): 189–219.
- Brandon-Jones D, Duckworth W, Jenkins PD, Rylands AB, Sarmiento EE (2007) The genitive of species-group formed from personal names. Zootaxa 1541: 41–48.
- Britt BB, Chure DJ, Stadtman KL, Madsen JH, Jr., Scheetz RD, Burge DL (2001) New osteological data and the affinities of *Utahraptor* from the Cedar Mountain Fm. (Early Cretaceous of Utah). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 21: 36A.

- Britt BB, Scheetz RD, Whiting MF, Wilhite DR (2017) *Moabosaurus utahensis*, N. gen., N. sp., a new sauropod from the early Cretaceous (Aptian) of North America. Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan, 32 (11): 189–243.
- Canudo JI, Barco JL, Cruzado-Caballero P, Cuenca-Bescós G, Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Royo-Torres R (2005) Evidencias de predación de dinosaurios terópodos en el Maastrichtiense Superior, Cretácico Superior de Arén (Huesca). Lucas Mallada 12: 29–58.
- Carpenter K (2006) Assessing dinosaur faunal turnover in the Cedar Mountain Formation (Lower Cretaceous) of Eastern Utah, USA): Mesozoic Terrestrial Ecosystems 2006: 21–25.
- Carvalho JC (2017) Vertebrados fósseis da Formação Quiricó, Cretáceo Inferior da Bacia Sanfranciscana. Unpublished master's dissertation, Universidade de Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil, 104 pp.
- Corfe IJ, Butler RJ (2006) Comment on "A well-preserved *Archaeopteryx* specimen with theropod features". Science 313: 1238b.
- DeCourten F (2013) Dinosaurs of Utah. Second edition. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, 283 pp.
- DePalma RA, Burnham DA, Martin LD, Larson PL, Bakker RT (2015) The first giant raptor (Theropoda: Dromaeosauridae) from the Hell Creek Formation. Paleontological Contributions 14: 1–15.
- DiCroce T, Carpenter K (2001) New ornithopod from the Cedar Mountain Formation (Lower Cretaceous) of the Eastern Utah [pp. 183–196]. In: Tanke D, Carpenter K (Eds), Mesozoic Vertebrate Life. Indiana University Press, Bloomington, Indiana.
- Dubois A (2007) Genitives of species and subspecies nomina derived from personal names should not be emended. Zootaxa 1550: 49–68.
- Erickson GM, Rauhut OWM, Zhou Z, Turner AH, Inouye BD, Hu D, Norell MA (2009) Was dinosaurian physiology inherited by birds? Reconciling slow growth in *Archaeopteryx*. PLoS One 4 (10): e7390.
- Evans DC, Larson DW, Currie PJ (2013) A new dromaeosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) with Asian affinities from the latest Cretaceous of North America. Naturwissenschaften 100 (11): 1041–1049.
- Gianechini FA (2014) Revisión de los Deinonychosauria (Dinosauria, Theropoda) de la Argentina: anatomía y filogenia. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina, 120 pp.
- Gianechini FA, Makovicky PJ, Apesteguía S (2011) The teeth of the unenlagiine theropod *Buitreraptor* from the Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina, and the unusual dentition of the Gondwanan dromaeosaurids. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 56(2): 279–290.
- Gianechini FA, Makovicky PJ, Apesteguía S (2017) The cranial osteology of *Buitreraptor gonzalezorum* Makovicky, Apesteguía, Agnolín 2005 (Theropoda, Dromaeosauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Patagonia, Argentina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 37: e1255639.
- Hendrickx C (2015) Evolution of teeth and quadrate in non-avian Theropoda (Dinosauria: Saurischia), with the description of *Torvosaurus* remains from Portugal. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Lisbon, Portugal, 646 pp.
- Hu D, Hou L, Zhang L, Xu X (2009) A pre-*Archaeopteryx* troodontid theropod from China with long feathers on the metatarsus. Nature 46: 640–643.
- Hwang SH, Norell MA, Qiang J, Keqin G (2004) A large compsognathid from the Early Cretaceous Yixian Formation of China. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 2 (1): 13–30.
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) (1985) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Third Edition, The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London, xx +338 pp.
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) (1999) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Fourth Edition, The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London, xxix + 306 pp.
- Kiernan CR, Schwimmer DR (2004) First record of a velociraptorine theropod (Tetanurae, Dromaeosauridae) from the Eastern Gulf Coastal United States. The Mosasaur 7: 89–93.

100

- Kirkland JI, Madsen SK (2007) The lower Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation, Eastern Utah: the view up an always interesting learning curve. Utah Geological Association Publication 35: 1–108.
- Kirkland JI, Burge D, Gaston R (1993) A large dromaeosaur (Theropoda) from the Lower Cretaceous of eastern Utah. Hunteria 2 (10): 1–16.
- Kirkland JI, Britt BB, Burge DI, Carpenter K, Cifelli R, Decourten F, Eaton J, Hasiotis S, Lawton T (1997) Lower to Middle Cretaceous dinosaur faunas of the Central Colorado Plateau: a key to understanding 35 millions of years of tectonics, sedimentology, evolution and biogeography. Geology Studies 42 (2): 69–103.
- Kirkland JI, Britt BB, Whittle CH, Madsen SK, Burge DL (1998) A small coelurosaurian theropod from the yellow cat member of the Cedar Mountain Formation (Lower Cretaceous, Barremian) of Eastern Utah [pp. 239–247]. In: Lucas SG, Kirkland JI, Estep JW (Eds), Lower and Middle Cretaceous Terrestrial Ecosystems. New Mexico Museum of Natural Sciences Bulletin 14.
- Kirkland JI, Cifelli RL, Britt BB, Burge DL, DeCourten FL, Eaton JG, Parrish JM (1999) Distribution of vertebrate faunas in the Cedar Mountain Formation, East-Central Utah [pp. 210–218]. In: Gillette DD (Ed), Vertebrate Paleontology in Utah. Utah Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publication.
- Kirkland JI, Simpson EL, DeBlieux DD, Madsen SK, Bogner E, Tibert NE (2016a) Depositional constraints on the lower Cretaceous stikes quarry dinosaur site: Upper Yellowcat Member, Cedar Mountain Formation, Utah. Palaios 31: 421–439.
- Kirkland JI, Suarez M, Suarez C, Hunt-Foster R (2016b) The lower Cretaceous in east-central Utah the Cedar Mountain Formation and its bounding strata: geology of the Intermountain West 3: 101–228.
- Longrich NR, Currie PJ (2009) A microraptorine (Dinosauria Dromaeosauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences doi:10.1073/ pnas.0811664106.
- Lucas SG, Sullivan RM, Spielmann JA (2012) Cretaceous vertebrate biochronology, North American Western Interior. Journal of Stratigraphy 36 (2): 436–461.
- Michels JP, Bauer AM (2004) Some corrections to the scientific names of amphibians and reptiles. Bonner Zoologische Beiträge 52: 83–94.
- Milàn J, Chiappe LM, Loope DB, Kirkland JI, Lockley MG (2015) First report on dinosaur tracks from the Burro Canyon Formation, San Juan County, Utah, USA – Evidence of a diverse, hitherto unknown lower Cretaceous dinosaur fauna. Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae 85: 515–525.
- Norell MA, Makovicky PJ (2004) 10. Dromaeosauridae. [pp. 196–209]. In: Weishampel P, Dodson P, Osmolska H (Eds), The Dinosauria. 2nd Edition, University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- Norell MA, Clark JM, Makovicki PJ (2001) Phylogenetic relationships among coelurosaurian theropods [pp. 49–68]. In Gauthier J, Gall LF (eds.), New perspectives on the origin and early evolution of birds. Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut.
- Norell MA, Clark JM, Turner AH, Makovicky PJ, Barsbold R, Rowe T (2006) A new dromaeosaurid theropod from Ukhaa Tolgod (Ömnögov, Mongolia). American Museum Novitates 3545: 1–55.
- Novas FE, Ezcurra MD, Agnolin FL, Pol D, Ortíz R (2012) New Patagonian Cretaceous theropod sheds light about the early radiation of Coelurosauria. Revista Museu Argentino Ciencias Naturales 14 (1): 57–81.
- Novas FE, Pol D, Juan IC, Porfiri JD, Calvo JO (2009) A bizarre Cretaceous theropod dinosaur from Patagonia and the evolution of Gondwanan dromaeosaurids. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 276: 1101–1107.
- Olshevsky G (1993a) Bruhathkayosaurus: Bigger than T. rex?. The Dinosaur Report 1994 (1993): 12–13.
- Olshevsky G (1993b) Regnosaurus. The Dinosaur Report 1993: 7.

Olshevsky G (1993c) The name game: The Dinosaur Report 1993:5-6.

- Olshevsky G (2000) An annotated checklist of the dinosaur species by continent. Mesozoic Meanderings 3.
- Parsons WL, Parsons KM (2015) Morphological variations within the ontogeny of *Deinonychus antirrhopus* (Theropoda, Dromaeosauridae). PLOS One 10(4): e0121476.
- Paul GS (2010) The Princeton field guide to dinosaurs. Princeton Field Guides, Princeton and Oxford, 1st Edition, pp. 321.
- Paul GS (2016) The Princeton field guide to dinosaurs: Princeton Field Guides, Princeton and Oxford, 2nd Edition, pp. 362.
- Rauhut OWM, Xu X (2005) The small theropod dinosaurs *Tugulusaurus* and *Phaedrolosaurus* from the early Cretaceous of Xinjiang, China. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 25(1): 107–118.
- Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Canudo JI (2003) Dinosaurios (Saurischia, Ornithischia) en el Barremiense (Cretácico Inferior) de La Peninsula Ibérica. Ciencias de la Tierra 26: 269–312.
- Samman T (2006) Craniocervical functional morphology of several North American coelurosaurian dinosaurs. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 341 pp.
- Senter P (2007) A new look at the phylogeny of Coelurosauria (Dinosauria: Theropoda). Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 5 (4): 429–463.
- Senter P, Kirkland JI, DeBlieux DD (2012a)*Martharaptor greenriverensis*, a new theropod dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of Utah. PLOS One 7(8): e43911.
- Senter P, Kirkland JI, DeBlieux DD, Madsen S, Toth N (2012b) New dromaeosaurids (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Lower Cretaceous of Utah, and the evolution of the dromaeosaurid tail. PLOS One 7(5): e36790.
- Suarez MB, Suarez CA, Al-Suwaidi AH, Hatzell G, Kirkland JI, Salazar-Verdin J, Ludvigson GA, Joeckel RM (2017) Terrestrial carbon isotope chemostratigraphy in the Yellow Cat Member of the Cedar Mountain Formation: complications and pitfalls [pp. 303–332]. In: Zeigler KE, Parker WG (Eds), Terrestrial depositional systems: deciphering complexities through multiple stratigraphic methods. Elsevier, Cambridge, USA.
- Taniguchi NJ (2004) Castle Valley America. Utah State University Press, Logan, Utah, 377 pp.
- Turner AH, Pol D, Norell MA (2011) Anatomy of *Mahakala omnogovae* (Theropoda: Dromaeosauridae), Tögrögiin Shiree, Mongolia. American Museum Novitates 3722: 1–66.
- Turner AH, Hwang SH, Norell MA (2007a.) A small derived theropod from Öösh, Early Cretaceous, Baykhangor, Mongolia. American Museum Novitates 3557: 1–27.
- Turner AH, Pol D, Clarke JA, Erickson GM, Norell MA (2007b) A basal dromaeosaurid and size evolution preceding avian flight. Science 317: 10.1126/science.1144066.
- Turner AH, Makovicky PJ, Norell MA (2012) A review of dromaeosaurid systematics and Paravian phylogeny. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 371: 1–206.
- Watanabe A (2016) The impact of poor sampling of polimorphism on cladistic analysis. Cladistics 10.1111/cla.12130: 317-334.
- Weishampel DB, Barrett PM, Coria RA, Loeuff JL, Xing X, Xijin Z, Sahni A, Gomani EMP, Noto CR (2004) 24. Dinosaur distribution [pp. 517–606]. In: Weishampel P, Dodson P, Osmolska H (Eds), The Dinosauria. 2nd Edition, University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- Witmer L (1997) The evolution of the antorbital cavity of archosaurs: a study in soft-tissue reconstruction in the fossil record with an analysis of the function of pneumaticity. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 17 (1): 1–73.
- Xu X, Zheng X, Sullivan C, Wang X, Xing L, Wang Y, Zhang X, O'Connor JK, Zhang F, Pan Y (2015) A bizarre Jurassic maniraptoran theropod with preserved evidence of membranous wings. Nature. doi:10.1038/nature14423.
- Zheng X, Xu X, You H, Zhao Q, Dong Z (2010) A short-armed dromaeosaurid from the Jehol Group of China with implications for early dromaeosaurid evolution. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 277: 211–217.